

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,652	11/25/2003	Glenn R. Gibson	N-32809A	7110	
1095	7590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER		
NOVARTIS CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3			VANIK, I	VANIK, DAVID L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HANG	EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080		1615		
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/18/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\mathcal{J}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,652	GIBSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L. Vanik	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 18-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Ciaim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 18-25 are subject to restriction	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	· · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)			,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's Amended Claims filed on 11/25/2003.

Receipt is also acknowledged of the applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed on 6/18/2004.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, drawn to a composition comprising a fructo-oligosaccharide and a galacto-oligosaccharide, classified in class 424, subclass 439.
 - II. Claims 18-25, drawn to a method of using a composition, classified in class 424, subclass.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and Inventions II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the composition as claimed can be used to treat a materially different disorder. A composition comprising fructo-oligosaccharides and galacto-oligosaccharides can be used to treat pruitus cataneus resulting from renal failure (See US Patent 5.972.905).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,652

Art Unit: 1615

3. Searching the inventions of Groups I – II together would impose a search burden on the examiner. In the instant case, the search of a composition comprising fructo-oligosaccharides and galacto-oligosaccharides and method of using said composition

Page 3

would impose a search burden.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each subset of Groups I II are not required for one another, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,652 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

8. In the event that applicant elects Group II, the following election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of disorders/benefits:

- (a) gastrointestinal disorders,
- (b) the control of intestinal flora,
- (c) inflammatory bowel disease,
- (d) infection by a pathogenic gut bacteria,
- (e) stimulating growth of beneficial bacteria,
- (f) inhibition of growth of nonbeneficial bacteria.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,652

11011141111501: 10/121,00

Art Unit: 1615

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant's agent was contacted on 6/10/2005 and a phone message was left concerning the restriction/election requirement.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Vanik whose telephone number is (571) 272-3104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vanik, Ph.D. Art Unit 1615

CARLOS A. AZPURU PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500